	Case 3:20-cv-00006-RCJ-CLB Document 1	9 Filed 07/27/20	Page 1 of 4	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA  IRONSHORE SPECIALTY INSURANCE   CASE NO: 3:20-cv-00006-RCJ-CLB			
13	IRONSHORE SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,			
14	Plaintiff,		OVERY DEADLINES	
15	vs.	[FIRST REQUES	Γ]	
16	FLETCHER ROOFING AND SIDING, INC., JOSE GOMEZ-DELGADO, JASON E.			
17	MITCHELL and SHANTEL MITCHELL, and DOES 1 through 10,			
18	Defendants.			
19	2 stondards			
20	Plaintiff, Ironshore Specialty Insurance Company ("Ironshore" or "Plaintiff") and Defendants			
21	Fletcher Roofing and Siding, Inc., ("Fletcher"), Jose Gomez-Delgado ("Gomez"), Jason E. Mitchell			
22	and Shantel Mitchell ("Mitchells") (Collectively, Fletcher, Gomez and Mitchells may be referred to			
23	as "Defendants", and collectively Defendants and Ironshore may be referred to as "Parties").			
24	The Parties have been meeting and conferring concerning the orderly progression of this case			
25	in a manner that conserves party resources, judicial resources and is also appropriate under today's			
26	COVID 19 restrictions on in person appearances. This is the first request for a deviation from the			
27	Scheduling Order.			
28				

## Case 3:20-cv-00006-RCJ-CLB Document 19 Filed 07/27/20 Page 2 of 4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

The instant action is an insurance coverage and Declaratory Relief complaint, with a Counter Claim for Breach of Contract and Extra Contract damages. In the first phase of the case, the Parties agree to address the key legal issues, conserving resources for in person depositions and Expert discovery, as well as the expense to the court and the Parties of full discovery. The Parties also agree that the Summary Judgments are amenable to being heard and decided by Magistrate Carla Brown.

Therefore, the Parties, by and through counsel seek the Court's agreement to "phase" the case, and allow the parties to proceed first by cross motions for Summary Judgment, and then to discover and try any remaining issues following the outcome.

## THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Parties agree to file their respective motions for Summary Judgment or Partial Summary Judgment by September 24, 2020.
- 2. The Motion(s) shall be briefed according to the normal schedule in the FRCP, and be subject to all the normal rules of this District for Motions for Summary Judgment.
- 3. The Parties Stipulate that the Motions in paragraph 1., above, may be heard by Magistrate Carla Brown.
- 4. If no motions for summary judgement are filed the date to disclose experts is now October 1, 2020 from the original date of July 31, 2020.
- 5. The Parties agree that following the delivery of an order on the Parties' motions, the Parties shall submit a new Joint Status Report pursuant to FRCP 26(f) and Local Rule 26-1-1 with new proposed dates to complete discovery.

Dated: July 22, 2020 WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP

/s/ Chris J. Richardson

JOHN H. PODESTA (NBN 7487) CHRIS J. RICHARDSON (NBN 9166) 525 Market Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco CA 94105 Attorney for Plaintiff IRONSHORE SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

28

1	Dated: July 22, 2020 MATTHEW L. SHARP, LTD.		
	/s/ Matthew L. Sharp		
2	Matthew L. Sharp, Esq.		
3	Nevada Bar No. 4746		
4	432 Ridge St. Reno, NV 89501		
	Phone: (775) 324-1500		
5	matt@mattsharplaw.com		
6	Attorney for Defendants		
7	Fletcher Roofing and Siding, Inc., Jason E. Mitchell and Shantel Mitchell		
7	Witchen and Shanter Witchen		
8	RANALLI, ZANIEL, FOWLER & MORAN,		
9	Dated: July 22, 2020 LLC		
10	/s/ David M. Zaniel		
10	David M. Zaniel		
11	50 West Liberty Street, Ste 1050 Reno, Nevada, 89501		
12	dzaniel@ranallilw.com		
1.0	(775) 786-4441		
13	Attorney for Defendant Jose Gomez-Delgado		
14			
15			
16	<u>ORDER</u>		
17	THE COURT, having reviewed the Stipulation of Counsel, and Good Cause Appearing Therefore		
18	hereby ORDERS:		
19	1. Any Motion for Summary Judgment shall be filed by the Parties no later that		
20	Monday August 24, 2020 through ECF;		
21	2. All normal rules of this district shall apply to the Motions, including but not limited to		
22	format, length, required documentation.		
23	3. If the Parties proceed by way of agreed evidence and/or stipulated facts, only Plaintif		
24	shall file with the Court with a single copy of the joint facts and/or evidence, and that shall be		
25	deemed the evidentiary submission by any party making a motion.		
26	4. The Motion(s) for Summary Judgment will be heard by Magistrate Carla L Brown		
27	per the Stipulation of the Parties.		
28			
	2		

## Case 3:20-cv-00006-RCJ-CLB Document 19 Filed 07/27/20 Page 4 of 4

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
2	7

28

5. If no Motions for Summary Judgment are filed by August 24<sup>th</sup>, the Parties shall disclose expert witnesses by September 1<sup>st</sup>, and all other dates shall remain the same as in exisiting Joint Discovery Plain and Scheduling Order [Doc 9]. If motion(s) are filed then the Parties' Joint Discovery Plain and Scheduling Order [Doc 9] is thereupon vacated.

5. Upon the service of an Order(s) on the Party(ies)' Motions for Summary Judgment, the Parties will provide the court with a new Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order that will be aimed at disposing of the balance of the claims in a timely and efficient manner.

Dated:July 27, 2020.

ROBERT C. /ONES U.S. District Judge